

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,322	1	11/24/2003	Robert Clark Maxwell	9526 EXAMINER	
	7590	08/10/2005			
William W.			ALAVI, ALI		
818 Brookwood Way Chico, CA 95926			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2875	
				DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/722,322	MAXWELL ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ali Alavi	2875	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the plant of the second section apported in the second secon	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fire, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditi	•		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		,	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Application from the International Bureation attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/24/03</u> .	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph within the range of 50 to 250 words. The abstract should not exceed 25 lines of text.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The alternate language "and/or" used in claims 1-5 is indefinite because it is unclear whether it is inclusive or exclusive in the limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shofu (US Pat. No 4,184,194) in view of Detker (US Pat. No 3,694,945).

Regarding claims 1-6, the applicant is advised that claims have been given their broadest reasonable interpretation. In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974), Shofu discloses a display device consisting of: a vertical (reflector panel 16, fig. 2) opaque which is self supporting being affixed to a vertical surface (housing 10), a translucent panel (22, fig. 2) of approximately the same area of said opaque panel, support means (28, fig. 2) for affixing said translucent panel substantially parallel to said opaque surface or panel, directly in front of said opaque panel and at a predetermined distance between 1 and 185 millimeters from said opaque surface or panel, a light source (18, fig. 2). Shofu discloses the invention as claimed except for the translucent being a frosted acrylic sheet. However, Detiker discloses an optical element for illumination including a translucent, frosted acrylic sheet (2, col. 3, lines 1-6). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the display device of Shofu by substituting a frosted translucent panel in order to provide differing light effects in the dark when illuminated and in daylight when the light source is not in use.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stephan et al (2003/0064814), Cowell et al (US Pat. No. 6,662,503), Slesinger et al (US 2002/0085373 A1) all are cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Ali Alavi** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2365**. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. Tuesday to

Application/Control Number: 10/722,322 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandy O'Shea can be reached at (571) 272-2378 or you may fax your inquiry to the **Central Fax at (703) 872-9306.**

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ali Alavi

Patent Examiner

AU 2875